

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Sunil Podar et al.  
Serial No.: 09/893,763  
Filed: June 27, 2001  
Group No.: 2616  
Examiner: Andrew Chung Cheung Lee  
Notice of Allowance Mailed: March 13, 2007  
Confirmation No.: 4857  
Title: MANAGING ACCESS TO INTERNET PROTOCOL (IP)  
MULTICAST TRAFFIC

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**RESPONSE TO REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 1, 10, 11, 17, 19, 28, 29, 35 and 37-45. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations.

Respectfully submitted,  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicants

Bradley P. Williams  
Registration No. 40,227

Date: 6/12/07  
**CUSTOMER NO. 05073**